

# CCCL NEWSLETTER

No. 15  
Feb. 28, 1958

## CENTRAL CALIFORNIA CHESS LEAGUE MATCHES, 1957 - 1958 SEASON

### Round 6 - Feb. 23, 1958

<u>Sacramento</u>		<u>Oakdale</u>		<u>San Jose</u>		<u>Modesto</u>	
1 W Haines	1	R Ewing	0	1 H Hindman	1	E L Jeffers	0
2 A Janushkowsky	1	C J Smith	0	2 B Mueller	1	L Davis	0
3 N Austin	$\frac{1}{2}$	F Kimball	$\frac{1}{2}$	3 D Foley	1	L Krogness	0
4 E Edmondson	1	M Mattingly	0	4 J Iwashita	1	M Norgan	0
5 A Bishop	$\frac{1}{2}$	L Sanny	$\frac{1}{2}$	5 L Daugherty	0	L Bennett	1
6 J L Hunting	1	S Slosted	0	6 J Blackstone	$\frac{1}{2}$	E L Jones	$\frac{1}{2}$
7 G Vlack	$\frac{1}{2}$	W Smith	0	7 V Mitchell	1	L Gregoric	0
8 J Celle	1	H Mortensen	0	8 R Fournier	1	J Raiche	0
9 W Wilson	$\frac{1}{2}$	J Christiansen	$\frac{1}{2}$		<u>6</u>		<u>1</u>
	<u>7</u>		<u>2</u>				

<u>Fresno</u>		<u>Stockton</u>	
1 P D Smith	1	R Leigh	0
2 R Baker	0	H Minchaca	1
3 O Maschke	1	R Mah	0
4 D Bevill	1	M Sanders	0
5 C Shaughness	1	A Radinsky	0
6 M Phetteplace	0	H Keibler	1
	<u>4</u>		<u>2</u>

	CCCL STANDINGS		
	Won	Lost	Tied
San Jose	5	0	0
Sacramento	4	0	1
Pittsburg	3	1	1
Fresno	3	2	0
Stockton	1	4	0
Oakdale	0	4	1
Modesto	0	5	1

### LEAGUE DIRECTORS' MEETING AT MODESTO

In response to many requests, League President E.L. Jeffers has called for a meeting of League Directors to discuss the possible combining of the League Championship and State Qualifying tournaments. The meeting will be held March 16 at Modesto when the final round of the season is held.

### Three letters from Sacramento:

To: All League Directors  
From: Neil T. Austin, Sacramento  
SUBJECT: STATE CHAMPIONSHIP QUALIFYING TOURNAMENT

In view of the lack of interest shown in this tournament, the League Directors should be prepared to discuss the following questions in the near future.

1. Should we withdraw from the State Championship? This would make it necessary for any interested players to qualify in San Francisco.
2. Should we hold only the League Championship, heretofore in the Spring, and qualify the winner for the State Tournament? What happens if the winner is someone who cannot possibly take the time to play in the State Tournament?
3. Should we hold the Qualifying Tournament, but in new locations - such as alternate years at Pittsburg and Fresno. This might attract a larger field



of players interested in making the subsequent trip to San Francisco or Los Angeles.

It should be borne in mind that there is little chance of changing the present system whereby our representative must play his games with Bay Area qualifiers in San Francisco on a week-end prior to the State Tournament.

If we are to continue sending a representative, we should not only send one of our strongest players, but also contribute our share of the prize fund.

To: All League Directors  
From: Neil T. Austin, Sacramento  
SUBJECT: POSSIBILITY OF TWO TEAMS IN LEAGUE FROM SACRAMENTO

Due to the recent increase in membership of the Capital City Chess Club, the League Directors are asked to consider the possibility of admitting a second team from Sacramento. The inclusion of such a team would fill out the League to 8 teams and serve to make a better balanced organization.

The strength of the Sacramento club is not such that two equal teams can be fielded. However, we feel that we can put out a B team of sufficient quality to stay out of the cellar part of the time. While it would be possible to field two separate teams, the A team would have to be a 6 or 8 maximum, in order to have sufficient reserves. Consideration might be given to allowing some flexibility between the two teams, in that the lower boards on the A team could play on the B team. We would be in favor of these two restrictions. First, no player who had played on boards 1-6 on the A team could play on the B team. Second, no player could play with both Sacramento teams against any other team in the League. Also, certain restrictions might be necessary when the two teams meet each other.

If there is a favorable response to this idea, a schedule can be drawn prior to the September meeting, and the restrictions can be discussed then.

417 Anders Drive  
Mather Air Force Base  
California

February 23, 1958

To all League Directors:

Sacramento desires League permission to use William L. Rebold on its team.

When Bill first came to California from Ohio, he was a student at the University of California, Berkeley. He began team play as a U.C. member in the Bay Area League, and still plays in that League. However, he has worked and resided in Sacramento for over a year, and as a regular member is now playing in his second Capital City Chess Club championship tourney. Under these circumstances, we would very much like to be able to use him on our team.

I'm asking Bob Leigh to publish this in the next edition of the CCCL NEWSLETTER as the quickest and easiest means of reaching all of you. In the event that I receive no negative votes before the date of our match against San Jose, it will be understood that all concerned have affirmed the desired permission.

Ed Edmondson  
Team Captain  
Sacramento



## North-South, 1957

P. Smith-S. Yarmak  
 1. P-K4 P-K4  
 2. N-KB3 N-QB3  
 3. B-N5 N-B3  
 4. O-O B-B4  
 5. MxP MxN  
 6. P-Q4 B-Q3  
 7. PxN BxP  
 8. P-KB4 B-Q3  
 9. P-K5 B-B4ch  
 10. K-R1 N-K5  
 11. Q-K2 P-QB3  
 12. B-Q3 P-Q4  
 13. PxFp.p. P-B4  
 14. BxN O-O  
 15. BxQB QxP  
 16. B-R4 R-N1  
 17. B-K3 P-QM4  
 18. B-N3ch K-R1  
 19. BxB QxB  
 20. N-Q2 P-QR4  
 21. P-QR4 B-R3  
 22. Q-B2 Q-N5  
 23. P-B3 Q-Q3  
 24. PxF RxB  
 25. P-B4 QR-N1  
 26. RxB KR-Q1  
 27. R-Q5 Q-N5  
 28. Q-Q4 RxB  
 29. QxR B-N2  
 30. Q-Q4 Q-R4  
 31. Q-B3 Q-N3  
 32. B-Q1 R-K1  
 33. P-B5 Q-K3  
 34. B-B3 B-R3  
 35. R-KM1 Q-KR3  
 36. P-KN3 Q-K3  
 37. N-N3 R-Q1  
 38. R-K1 Q-B2  
 39. N-Q4 P-R4  
 40. N-B6 R-QB1  
 41. N-K5 Q-K1  
 42. N-B4 Q-B1  
 43. N-Q6 R-Q1  
 44. BxP K-R2  
 45. Q-K5 B-Q6  
 46. Q-K6 RxB  
 47. QxR Q-R1ch  
 48. P-B6 B-K5ch  
 49. RxB PxR  
 50. Q-N6ch K-N1  
 51. Q-K8ch QxQ  
 52. BxQ Resigns

## Fresno Chess Club Championship Tournament, 1958

O. Maschke-P. Smith  
 1. P-K4 P-QB4  
 2. N-KB3 N-QB3  
 3. P-Q4 PxF  
 4. NxB N-B3  
 5. N-QB3 P-Q3  
 6. B-K2 P-KN3  
 7. B-K3 B-N2  
 8. O-O O-O  
 9. P-KR3<sub>a</sub> NxB  
 10. BxN B-K3  
 11. B-B3<sub>c</sub> Q-R4  
 12. P-QR3 QR-B1  
 13. R-K1 KR-Q1  
 14. Q-K2 N-Q2  
 15. BxB KxB  
 16. P-KM4 N-K4  
 17. Q-K3 Q-N3  
 18. QR-N1 QxQ  
 19. RxB NxRch  
 20. RxB P-Q4  
 21. PxF BxB  
 22. R-Q3 B-B5  
 23. RxB RxB  
 24. R-Q1 RxBch  
 25. NxB K-B3  
 26. N-K3 B-K3  
 27. K-N2 K-K4  
 28. K-B3 B-Q2  
 29. N-B1 P-B4  
 30. N-K3 B-B3ch  
 31. K-K2 P-B5  
 32. N-B4ch K-B3  
 33. N-Q2 P-K4  
 34. P-KB3 K-N4  
 35. K-B2 K-R5  
 36. K-N2 P-KR4  
 37. P-N3 PxF  
 38. PxF P-K5  
 39. PxF KxB  
 40. K-B2 P-KM4  
 41. P-B4 K-R4  
 42. K-B3 K-N3  
 43. P-N4 P-N3  
 44. N-B1 K-B3  
 45. N-R2 K-K4  
 46. N-N4ch K-Q5  
 47. N-B2 B-Q2  
 48. P-B5 PxF  
 49. PxF KxB  
 50. N-Q3ch K-Q5  
 51. N-B2 P-R4  
 52. N-Q1 K-K4  
 53. N-B2 P-N5ch  
 Resigns<sub>d</sub>

a. Yanofsky has shown that this move leads to trappy lines which are harder for Black to equalize against than the more regular lines starting with 9.N-N3.

b. Pachman, Barden, and MCO9 recommend 9...P-Q4, but, as Barden shows, the so-called equalizing lines leave Black with some positional inferiority: 10.PxF,NxB; 11.QMxN,NxN! 12.BxN,QxN; 13.BxB,QxQ; 14.QRxQ,KxB; 15.B-B3,B-B4!--Barden claims Black can "surely draw," but his game looks difficult. MCO shows a different line: 10.PxF,N-N5; 11.P-Q6? QxP, etc. But Yanofsky has played 11.N-N3! with advantage to White. And Pachman's recommendation: 10.PxF,NxB; 11.QMxN,QxN; 12.B-B3,Q-R4 is refuted by 13.P-B3! (much better than 13.BxB,QR-N1 with counterplay for Black),QR-N1; 14.Q-B1, to White's advantage.

c. 11.P-B4 is probably better.

d. If 53.K-K2,B-N4ch, followed by P-N6 and KxB. If K-N2,B-K3 and P-N6 and KxB.  
 (Notes by P. Smith)

## Pittsburg-Sacto.

2/2/58

## L. Talcott-W. Haines

1. P-Q4 N-KB3  
 2. P-QB4 P-K3  
 3. N-QB3 B-N5  
 4. P-K3 P-B4  
 5. N-KB3 O-O  
 6. B-Q3 P-Q4  
 7. P-QR3 BxN  
 8. PxB N-B3  
 9. O-O N-R4<sub>a</sub>  
 10. PxF P-B5  
 11. B-B2 PxF  
 12. N-Q2!<sub>b</sub> R-K1  
 13. R-K1 N-K5!?<sub>c</sub>

14. B-N2?<sub>d</sub>

15. N-B3

16. R-B1

17. P-R3<sub>f</sub>

18. QxB

19. PxB

20. R-Q1

21. K-N2

22. R-K1

23. P-B3

24. P-R4

25. P-R4

26. K-B2

27. P-K5

28. QR-Q1

29. R-K3?<sub>i</sub>

Q-N4

Q-B3<sub>e</sub>

B-N5

BxN

QxQ

N-Q7

NxFch

N-N4<sub>g</sub>

R-K2

P-KN3

N-K3

N-B5<sub>h</sub>

QR-K1

N-N6!<sub>h</sub>

P-B3

PxF

30. R(1)-K1

31. PxF

32. K-N3

33. BxN

34. R(1)-K2

35. RxB

36. RxB

37. B-B1

38. B-K3

39. K-N2

40. K-B1

41. K-K2

42. K-B2

43. B-N6

44. K-K3

45. P-R5??and resigns

K-B2

N-B4<sub>j</sub>

N(5)-Q6

NxB

RxB

RxB

NxB

N-Q6

P-R3

K-B3

K-B4

N-B5ch

P-R4

N-Q6ch

N-K8ch

a. I think this is a fairly new move; seems to be good. It's an attempt to prevent P-K4. b. White tries for P-K4. c. Only by

this risky move can Black forestall P-K4. d. I think taking the N is better, but the pro's and con's don't show up till the endgame. e. Pinning the N. f. Black was threatening N-N4! g. Black has made a poor investment: two N's against two B's for a single P. h. Must not permit B-B1. If now 28.BxN,N-Q6ch; 29.K any,NxB followed by PxB. i. Best was 29.BxN,PxB; 30.B-B1,N-R4; 31.P-B4, but then P-N7; 32.BxB,NxB  
 j. Curtains.  
 (Notes by W. Haines)



Pittsburg-Sacto.  
3/17/57

S.Poulsen-Janushkowsky

- 1. P-K4 P-K3
- 2. N-QB3 P-Q4
- 3. PXP PXP
- 4. P-Q4 N-KB3
- 5. B-Q3 N-B3
- 6. N-B3 B-KN5
- 7. B-QN5 B-N5
- 8. O-O O-O
- 9. BxN PxB
- 10. N-QR4 N-K5
- 11. P-KR3 QB-R4
- 12. B-B4 B-Q3
- 13. BxB PxB
- 14. P-KN4 B-N3
- 15. N-B3 P-KB4
- 16. K-N2 PXP
- 17. PXP NxB
- 18. PxB B-K5

Resigns

Fresno-Sacramento  
1/12/58

P.Smith-Janushkowsky

- 1. P-Q4 P-K3
- 2. P-QB4 P-KB4
- 3. P-KN3 N-KB3
- 4. B-N2 B-K2
- 5. N-QB3 P-Q4
- 6. N-B3 P-B3
- 7. O-O O-O
- 8. P-N3 N-K5
- 9. NxB BPxB
- 10. N-K5 N-Q2
- 11. NxB BxN
- 12. P-B3 PxBP
- 13. KPXP B-B3
- 14. B-N2 P-B4
- 15. BPXP KPXP
- 16. Q-Q2 Q-N3
- 17. PXP QxPch
- 18. K-R1 BxB
- 19. QxB B-B3
- 20. QR-B1 Q-N3
- 21. KR-Q1 QR-Q1
- 22. R-Q4 KR-K1
- 23. QR-Q1 R-K6
- 24. P-KR3 QR-K1
- 25. K-R2 P-QR4
- 26. R(1)-Q2

Drawn

Fresno-Oakdale  
2/2/58

R.Baker-M.Mattingly

- 1. P-Q4 P-Q4
- 2. P-QB4 P-K4
- 3. PXP P-Q5
- 4. P-QR3 B-K3
- 5. N-KB3 P-QB4
- 6. Q-B2 N-QB3
- 7. P-K3 Q-Q2
- 8. PXP NxB
- 9. NxB QxN
- 10. B-K3 QxP
- 11. B-Q3 B-Q3
- 12. N-Q2 P-KR3
- 13. N-KB3 Q-B3
- 14. O-O N-K2
- 15. QR-Q1 P-QN3
- 16. N-Q2 Q-K3
- 17. P-KN3 P-KN4
- 18. R-K1 R-Q1
- 19. B-B4 Q-N2
- 20. BxB RxB
- 21. N-K4 R-Q2
- 22. Q-K2 O-O
- 23. N-QB3 KR-Q1

Drawn

Fresno-Stockton  
2/23/58

D.Bevill-M.Sanders

- 1. P-Q4 N-KB3
- 2. P-K3 P-QN3
- 3. P-KB4 P-K3
- 4. B-Q3 B-N2
- 5. N-KB3 P-QB4
- 6. P-QB3 B-K2
- 7. O-O O-O
- 8. N-Q2 P-Q3
- 9. P-K4 P-Q4
- 10. Q-K2 R-K1
- 11. P-K5 N-R4
- 12. N-N5 BxN
- 13. QxN B-R3
- 14. R-N3 P-KB4
- 15. N-B1 R-K2
- 16. N-N3 Q-KB1
- 17. BxBP PxB
- 18. NxB R-K3
- 19. R-N3 K-R1
- 20. NxB RxB
- 21. Q-B3 N-B3
- 22. P-B5 R-R5
- 23. B-N5 R-K5
- 24. P-B6 P-N3
- 25. P-B7 P-KR3
- 26. R-R3 P-KR4
- 27. RxBch K-N2
- 28. Q-B6mate

Oakdale-Fresno  
2/2/58

C.J.Smith-Phetteplace

- 1. P-K4 P-K3
- 2. B-B4 P-Q4
- 3. PXP PXP
- 4. B-N3 N-KB3
- 5. N-KB3 B-K2
- 6. P-Q3 B-KN5
- 7. O-O O-O
- 8. B-B4 N-Q2
- 9. P-QB4 P-B3
- 10. P-KR3 B-R4
- 11. N-QB3 N-QB4
- 12. PXP PXP
- 13. N-QN5 BxN
- 14. QxB NxB
- 15. PxB P-QR3
- 16. N-Q4 Q-Q2
- 17. KR-K1 B-QB4
- 18. B-K5 BxN
- 19. BxB N-K1
- 20. B-B5 N-Q3
- 21. QXP KR-Q1
- 22. R-K5 N-N4
- 23. Q-B3 R-K1
- 24. QR-K1 RxB
- 25. RxB Q-B3
- 26. Q-B4 R-K1
- 27. Q-K3 RxB
- 28. QxR P-B3
- 29. Q-N8ch K-B2
- 30. Q-KB8ch K-N3
- 31. P-QN4 N-B2
- 32. P-KR4 P-KR4
- 33. Q-K7 N-K3
- 34. P-KN3 K-R2
- 35. Q-KB7 Q-Q4
- 36. Q-K7 NxB
- 37. QxN QxP
- 38. QXP K-N1

Drawn

Stockton C.C. Match  
4/24/53

R.Leigh-L.Woolfe

- 1. P-Q4 P-Q4
- 2. P-QB4 P-QB3
- 3. N-KB3 N-KB3
- 4. P-K3 P-K3
- 5. B-Q3 P-QR3
- 6. O-O PXP
- 7. BxB P-QN4
- 8. B-Q3 P-B4
- 9. PXP BxB
- 10. P-QN3 B-N2
- 11. Q-K2 QN-Q2
- 12. B-N2 O-O
- 13. QN-Q2 Q-K2
- 14. KR-K1 P-K4
- 15. P-K4 N-N5
- 16. R-KB1 B-B3
- 17. P-KR3 N(5)-B3
- 18. QR-B1? N-R4
- 19. K-R2?? N-B5
- 20. Q-Q1 NxBa
- 21. R-B2 NxB
- 22. RxB QR-B1
- 23. R-B2 B-N5
- 24. Q-B1 B-N2
- 25. R-B7 B-Q3
- 26. RxB RxB
- 27. Q-N1 B-N5
- 28. Q-Q3 R-B6
- 29. Q-K2 Q-B4
- 30. R-QR1 R-B7
- 31. Q-Q1 N-B3
- 32. N-B1 QxP
- 33. N-K3 R-K7
- 34. N-R4 RxBb
- 35. Q-Q8ch B-B1
- 36. N-B5 N-Q4
- 37. R-Q1 R-K7c
- 38. N-K7ch! Resignsd

- a. Black is a piece ahead.
- b. Black is two pieces ahead (and a pawn).
- c. Black threatens mate in one.
- d. White mates in three. Woolfe, usually a perfect gentleman, was so upset by this swindle that in our next game he resorted to the reprehensible stratagem of springing a Philadorean mate on me.

(Notes - R. Leigh)